arXiv:1612.00765v2 [math.NT] 22 Dec 2016

A GENERALIZATION OF RAMANUJAN'S CONGRUENCE TO MODULAR FORMS OF PRIME LEVEL

RADU GABA AND ALEXANDRU A. POPA

Abstract. We prove congruences between cuspidal newforms and Eisenstein series of prime level, which generalize Ramanujan's congruence. Such congruences were recently found by Billerey and Menares, and we refine them by specifying the Atkin-Lehner eigenvalue of the newform involved. We show that similar refinements hold for the level raising congruences between cuspidal newforms of different levels, due to Ribet and Diamond. The proof relies on studying the new subspace and the Eisenstein subspace of the space of period polynomials for the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(N)$, and on a version of Ihara's lemma.

1. Introduction

Let E_k be the Eisenstein series of even weight $k \geqslant 4$ for the modular group, normalized so that its Fourier expansion is

$$E_k(z) = -\frac{B_k}{2k} + \sum_{n \geqslant 1} \sigma_{k-1}(n) q^n,$$

where B_k is the Bernoulli number, $\sigma_a(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^a$, and $q = e^{2\pi i z}$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a prime ideal dividing the numerator of $\frac{B_k}{2k}$, in the number field generated by the eigenvalues of Hecke eigenforms of weight k. Then there exists such a cuspidal Hecke eigenform f such that

$$f \equiv E_k \pmod{\mathcal{I}}; \tag{1.1}$$

for $k = 12$ this is the well-known Ramanujan congruence modulo 691, while for higher weights it was proved in [14, 7]. This and later congruences mean that the difference between the Fourier coefficients at the cusp ∞ of the two sides belong to $\mathcal{I}$ (after clearing the denominator of the constant term), and we always normalize Hecke eigenforms to have first Fourier coefficient 1.

This congruence was recently generalized to newforms f of prime level by Billerey-Menares [3] and by Dummigan-Fretwell [12], for Fourier coefficients of index coprime to the level. In this paper we refine these results, by determining also the Atkin-Lehner eigenvalue of the newform involved, thus obtaining congruences for all coefficients. A similar congruence between cuspidal newforms of different levels is the "level raising theorem" of Ribet [26] and Diamond [9], and we show that it admits a similar refinement. Before stating the results, we introduce the common setting and explain the heuristic behind them.

Let $S_k(N)$, $M_k(N)$ be the space of cusp forms, respectively modular forms of even weight $k \geqslant 2$ for the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(N)$. We let $N = Mp$ with $p \nmid M$, and consider a modular form $g \in M_k(M)$. Fixing $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$, we define

$$g_p^{(\varepsilon)} := g|(1 + \varepsilon W_p), \quad \text{namely } g_p^{(\varepsilon)}(z) := g(z) + \varepsilon p^{k/2} g(pz), \tag{1.2}$$

The authors were supported by CNCSIS grant TE-2014-4-2077.

which is a form of level N with eigenvalue ε under the Atkin-Lehner involution W_p. Since g has level M, it follows that $\mathrm{Tr}_M^N(g|W_p) = p^{-k/2+1}g|T_p$, where $\mathrm{Tr}_M^N : M_k(N) \to M_k(M)$ is the trace map (see Section 2.3 for the definition). Assuming further that g is a newform of level M with eigenvalue λ_p under the Hecke operator T_p we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}_M^N g_p^{(\varepsilon)} = \big(1 + p + \varepsilon p^{-k/2+1}\lambda_p\big) \cdot g \,, \tag{1.3}$$

using that $[\Gamma_0(M) : \Gamma_0(N)] = 1 + p$. The newforms $f \in S_k(N)$ which are new at p (that is Hecke eigenforms of minimal level dp for some $d|M$) can be characterised by the condition $\mathrm{Tr}_M^N f = \mathrm{Tr}_M^N f|W_N = 0$, as recalled in Section 2.3. We conclude from (1.3) that $g_p^{(\varepsilon)}$ is p-new when reduced modulo prime ideals dividing the term in parantheses, and heuristically we expect that it is congruent modulo such ideals to a form in $S_k(N)$, which is p-new and has eigenvalue ε under W_p. The next two theorems confirm this heuristic.

When $M = 1$ and $g = E_k$, denote by $E_{k,p}^{(\varepsilon)}$ the form $g_p^{(\varepsilon)}$ in (1.2). The factor in (1.3) splits:

$$1 + p + \varepsilon p^{-k/2+1}\sigma_{k-1}(p) = (p^{-k/2+1} + \varepsilon)(p^{k/2} + \varepsilon),$$

and since W_p interchanges the cusps ∞ and 0 of $\Gamma_0(p)$, the constant terms of $E_{k,p}^{(\varepsilon)}$ at both cups are $\frac{B_k}{2k}(p^{k/2} + \varepsilon)$ (up to a sign and powers of p). Therefore candidates for congruence primes between $E_{k,p}^{(\varepsilon)}$ and newforms are prime ideals which divide both the numerator of $\frac{B_k}{2k}(p^{k/2} + \varepsilon)$, and the product above.

We denote by $S_k^{(\varepsilon)}(N)$ the subspace of $S_k(N)$ consisting of eigenforms for W_p with eigenvalue ε. We write $a|q$, $a \nmid q$ if the integer a divides, respectively does not divide, the numerator of the rational number q written in reduced terms.

Theorem 1. *Let $k \geqslant 4$ be even, p a prime, and $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$. Let ℓ be a prime with $\ell > k-2$, $\ell > 3$, $\ell \neq k+1$, and assume that the following conditions are satisfied:*

$$\ell \big| (p^{k/2} + \varepsilon)(p^{k/2-1} + \varepsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad \ell \big| \frac{B_k}{k}(p^{k/2} + \varepsilon). \tag{1.4}$$

If $\ell \nmid (p^{k/2} + \varepsilon)$, assume also that $\ell \nmid B_n B_{k-n}(p^{n-1} - 1)$ for some even n, $0 < n < k$. Then there exists a prime ideal $\mathcal{I}$ of residue characteristic ℓ, in the ring of integers generated by Hecke eigenvalues of newforms in $S_k^{(\varepsilon)}(p)$, and a newform $f \in S_k^{(\varepsilon)}(p)$ such that

$$f \equiv E_{k,p}^{(\varepsilon)} \pmod{\mathcal{I}}. \tag{1.5}$$

The theorem refines [3, Theorem 1], where it is shown, assuming $\ell > k+1$, that a congruence as in (1.5) exists for coefficients coprime to p, if and only if $\ell | (p^k - 1)(p^{k-2} - 1)$ and ℓ divides the numerator of $\frac{B_k}{k}(p^k - 1)$. The additional condition we impose if $\ell \nmid (p^{k/2} + \varepsilon)$ is an artifact of our method, but it is automatically satisfied for all $k \leqslant 5 \cdot 10^4$ (see Remark 3.1).

When the form g is a cuspidal newform, we obtain instead the following refinement of Diamond's level raising theorem [9, Thm. 1].

Theorem 2. *Let $N = Mp$ with p prime, $p \nmid M$, and let $k \geqslant 4$ be even. Let $g \in S_k(M)$ be a newform of level M with eigenvalue λ_p under T_p, and assume there is $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ such that*

$$\lambda_p \equiv -\varepsilon p^{k/2-1}(p+1) \pmod{\mathcal{I}}$$

for $\mathcal{I}$ a prime ideal of residue characteristic ℓ in the field generated by the eigenvalues of all newforms in $S_k^{(\varepsilon)}(N)$, with $\ell > k-2$, $\ell \nmid 3N$ and $\ell \neq k+1$. Further assume that

$$\text{either } \ell \nmid (p^{k/2-1} + \varepsilon) \cdot \mathrm{Den}\, P^+(g), \quad \text{or } k \geqslant 6 \text{ and } \ell \nmid (p^{k/2-2} + \varepsilon) \cdot \mathrm{Den}\, P^-(g). \tag{1.6}$$

Then there exists a newform $f \in S_k^{(\varepsilon)}(N)$ which is new at p such that $f \equiv g_p^{(\varepsilon)} \pmod{\mathcal{I}}$.

In condition (1.6), $P^+(g)$, respectively $P^-(g)$ is the even, respectively the odd period polynomial of g, normalized so that its principal part is 1 modulo $X^2K_g[X]$, respectively X modulo $X^3K_g[X]$, with K_g the field generated by the Hecke eigenvalues of g. We denote by $\mathrm{Den}\, P^\pm(g)$ the least common multiple of the norms of the denominators of the coefficients of $P^\pm(g)$ (which belong to K_g). These denominators tend to have few factors of residue characteristic $\ell > k-1$ (none if f is of full level and weight k such that $S_k(1)$ is one dimensional, cf. the tables in [21, 11]), so this condition is tipically verified for any given g (see Example 5.3). Assumption (1.6) is an artifact of our method, as we will explain shortly, and we expect the theorem to hold without it. The theorem should hold for weight $k=2$ as well, which would refine the original result of Ribet [26].

The proof of both theorems relies on the theory of period polynomials for congruence subgroups developed by Paşol and the second author in [24], and the results we obtain along the way are of independent interest. In Section 2 we define the new subspace of the space $W_w(N)$ of period polynomials of degree w for $\Gamma_0(N)$, where $N \geqslant 1$ is arbitrary. By studying the action of the Atkin-Lehner involution on period polynomials, we determine explicitly a basis for the "Eisenstein subspace" of $W_w(N)$ consisting of Atkin-Lehner eigenvectors, when N is square-free. We also need the larger space $\widehat{W}_w(N)$ of extended period polynomials introduced in [24], and we use it to prove an Eichler-Shimura isomorphism between the new subspaces of $\widehat{W}_{k-2}(N)$ and of $M_k(N)$ (see Proposition 2.6 and Corollary 2.7). Compared to the better known theory of modular symbols, there are two new features: the new subspace is defined using a trace map from higher to lower levels, as in Serre's characterization of newforms; and to associate (even) period polynomials in $W_{k-2}(N)$ to Eisenstein series when N is square-free, we require the larger space $\widehat{W}_{k-2}(N)$.

The upshot of the theory in Section 2 is that the Eisenstein series in Theorem 1 has an extended period polynomial $\widehat{\rho}\big(E_{k,p}^{(\varepsilon)}\big)$ whose even part belongs to the new subspace $W_w(p)^{\mathrm{new}}_{/\mathbb{F}_\ell}$, when reduced modulo primes $\ell | p^{k/2}+\varepsilon$, and similarly for the odd part modulo primes ℓ satisfying (1.4) and $\ell \nmid p^{k/2}+\varepsilon$. The even part is clearly nonzero modulo ℓ, but for the nonvanishing of the odd part we require the extra condition in Theorem 1. Similarly, the polynomials $P^\pm\big(g_p^{(\varepsilon)}\big)$ in Theorem 2 are new mod $\mathcal{I}$ because of the congruence satisfied by λ_p, and at least one is nonzero mod $\mathcal{I}$ by assumption (1.6) (the nonvanishing mod $\mathcal{I}$ is automatically satisfied in all numerical examples we considered). Since $\widehat{\rho}^\pm\big(E_{k,p}^{(\varepsilon)}\big)$ and $P^\pm\big(g_p^{(\varepsilon)}\big)$ are Hecke and Atkin-Lehner eigenvectors, the previous theorems follow from the Deligne-Serre lifting lemma (see Section 3), once we establish the surjectivity of a reduction map on new spaces.

This is the other main result, and to state it, we let R be a discrete valuation ring with residue field F of characteristic ℓ. Let $W_w(N)^{p-\mathrm{new}}_{/R}$ be the space of polynomials new at $p|N$, defined over R (see (2.8) for the definition).

Theorem 3. *Let $N=pM$ with p prime and $(p,M)=1$, and let $w \geqslant 2$ be even. Assume that $\ell > w$, $\ell \nmid 3N$. Then the reduction map*

$$W_w(N)^{p-\mathrm{new}}_{/R} \to W_w(N)^{p-\mathrm{new}}_{/F}$$

is surjective if $\ell \neq w+3$, and its image has codimension 1 if $\ell = w+3$.

For the proof, given in Section 4, we use the isomorphism between $W_w(N)_{/R}$ and the compactly supported cohomology $H^1_c(\Gamma_0(N), V_w(R))$, with $V_w(R)$ the module of polynomials of degree at most w with coefficients in R. Using Poincaré duality, we show in Proposition 4.3 that the surjectivity reduces to a version of Ihara's lemma [18, Lemma 3.2]. Ihara's lemma,

or rather the ingredients in its proof, was first used by Ribet and Diamond to prove the level raising congruence mentioned above. We follow the argument in [9], modified to take into account that we work with the whole cohomology rather than just the parabolic part. Our work is close in spirit to Harder's program of proving congruences by studying Eisenstein cohomology classes [15].

The surjectivity of the map in Theorem 3, or lack thereof for $\ell = w + 3$, can be traced to the vanishing of the finite cohomology group $H^1(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell), V_w(F))$ for $\ell > w$, and its nonvanishing for $\ell = w + 3$. This was shown in [19], but for completeness we give the proof in Proposition 4.6.

We end the introduction with several remarks and a conjecture related to Theorem 1.

Assuming a conjecture of Maeda, the Hecke eigenforms of full level form a single Galois orbit, so all of them satisfy (1.1) modulo conjugate ideals. Similary, a generalization of Maeda's conjecture due to Tsaknias [29] states that the newforms in $S_k(p)$ form two Galois orbits for sufficiently large k, the forms in each orbit sharing the same Atkin-Lehner eigenvalue. This would imply that all newforms in $S_k(p)$ satisfy a congruence (1.5), when primes ℓ as in Theorem 1 exist.

A congruence similar to (1.5) was proved by Dummigan and Fretwell in [12], for the Fourier coefficients of index coprime to the level p, and modulo primes of residue characteristic $\ell > 3$ such that $\ell | \frac{B_k}{k}(p^k - 1)$ and $\ell \nmid \frac{B_k}{k}$. Their proof uses Katz's arithmetic definition of modular forms of level N over an arbitrary $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$-algebra, and it is an immediate consequence of the surjectivity of a reduction map on spaces of arithmetic modular forms, proved by Edixhoven [13, Lemma 1.9]. The proof in [12] can be easily adapted to yield another proof of Theorem 1 if $\ell | p^{k/2} + \varepsilon$, under the extra assumption $\ell \nmid \frac{B_k}{k}$, by specifying the Atkin-Lehner eigenvalue of the newform. Indeed, one only needs to consider the Eisenstein series $E^{(\varepsilon)}_{k,p}(z)$ rather than the difference $E_k(z) - E_k(pz)$, and apply the Deligne-Serre lifting lemma to the commutative algebra generated by the Hecke operators of index coprime with the level, *together with* the Atkin-Lehner involution. In particular, this argument proves the case $\ell = k+1$ of Theorem 1 (in this case ℓ divides both $p^k - 1$ and the denominator of B_k by the Clausen-Von Staudt theorem, so condition (1.4) is satisfied if and only if $\ell^2 | p^{k/2} + \varepsilon$–see Example 5.1).

Theorem 1 also holds in weight $k = 2$, for $\varepsilon = -1$. In this case there is only one Eisenstein series $E^{(-1)}_{2,p}$, and the existence of f satisfying (1.5) was proved by Mazur [23, Prop. 5.12]. The fact that the newform in Mazur's congruence can be taken to have Atkin-Lehner eigenvalue -1 follows from the argument in the previous paragraph.

A conjecture generalizing Ramanujan's congruence to newforms of square-free levels was proposed by Billerey and Menares in [3], and we end by stating a conjectural refinement that includes a generalization of Theorem 2 as well. Let $N = MN'$ be square-free, $k \geqslant 4$, and let $g \in M_k(M)$ be a newform of level M. This includes the case of Eisenstein series for $k \geqslant 4$, when we necessarily have $M = 1$ and $g = E_k$. Let $D(N')$ denote the set of divisors of N', and let $\varepsilon : D(N') \to \{\pm 1\}$ a multiplicative function, which we view as a system of Atkin-Lehner eigenvalues for modular forms in $M_k(N)$. Define

$$g^{(\varepsilon)}_{N'} = g | \prod_{p | N'} (1 + \varepsilon(p) W_p), \text{ namely } g^{(\varepsilon)}_{N'}(z) = \sum_{d | N'} \varepsilon(d) d^{k/2} g(dz),$$

which is a eigenform for W_p for prime $p | N'$ with eigenvalue $\varepsilon(p)$, and it is still new at primes dividing M. Note that when $M = 1$ and $g = E_k$, the constant term of $g^{(\varepsilon)}_N$ at all cusps is

(up to signs and powers of $p|N$):

$$\frac{B_k}{2k}\prod_{p|N}(p^{k/2}+\varepsilon(p))\ , \tag{1.7}$$

since the group of Atkin-Lehner involutions permutes the cusps for square-free N. Let $S_k^{(\varepsilon)}(N)$ be the subspace of $S_k(N)$ consisting of eigenforms for W_p with eigenvalue $\varepsilon(p)$, for all $p|N'$.

Conjecture. *Assume $N = MN'$ square-free and $k \geqslant 4$, and let $g \in M_k(M)$ be a newform of level M, with Hecke eigenvalues λ_p for $p|N'$. Let $\varepsilon : D(N') \to \{\pm 1\}$ be a system of Atkin-Lehner eigenvalues as above, and assume that for all $p|N'$ we have*

$$\lambda_p \equiv -\varepsilon(p)p^{k/2-1}(p+1) \pmod{\mathcal{I}}\ ,$$

for $\mathcal{I}$ a prime ideal of residue characteristic ℓ in the field generated by the Hecke eigenvalues of all newforms in $S_k^{(\varepsilon)}(N)$, such that $\ell > k-2$, $\ell \nmid 6N$. If $M = 1$ and $g = E_k$ also assume that ℓ divides the numerator of (1.7). Then there exists a newform $f \in S_k^{(\varepsilon)}(N)$ of level N such that

$$f \equiv g_{N'}^{(\varepsilon)} \pmod{\mathcal{I}}.$$

By (1.2), the conditions in the conjecture guarantee that $g_{N'}^{(\varepsilon)}$ is cuspidal and "new" when reduced modulo the ideal $\mathcal{I}$. The reductions mod $\mathcal{I}$ make sense in the space $S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell)$ of arithmetic modular forms á la Katz [13]. Provided one had a definition of the "new subspace" $S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell)^{\mathrm{new}}$ involving trace maps, as for modular forms over $\mathbb{C}$, then the conjecture would follow immediately from the surjectivity of the reduction map

$$S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell)^{\mathrm{new}} \to S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)^{\mathrm{new}}.$$

Part of the conjecture would also follow from a generalization of Theorem 3, stating that the reduction map $W_w(N)^{\mathrm{new}}_{/R} \to W_w(N)^{\mathrm{new}}_{/F}$ is surjective for N square-free.

For cuspidal g, the existence of the newform f in the conjecture–minus the determination of its Atkin-Lehner eigenvalues at primes $p|N'$–follows from a theorem of Diamond and Taylor on non-optimal levels for modular Galois representations [10]. That theorem was proved for arbitrary M such that $(M, N') = 1$ and N' square-freee, and we similarly expect the conjecture to hold in the cuspidal case under these assumptions. When g is an Eisentein newform of level $M > 1$, a similar conjecture can be made, under extra assumptions due to the cusps of $\Gamma_0(M)$.

For $k = 2$ and g an Eisenstein series, similar statements have been proved by Yoo [30] (who also determines the Atkin-Lehner eigenvalues of f), and Martin [21].

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Vicenţiu Paşol for many useful conversations. We are grateful to Neil Dummigan and Dan Fretwell for bringing to our attention reference [3], after a first version of this paper was released as a preprint.

2. Atkin-Lehner operators and newform theory for period polynomials

In §2.1, we briefly review the definition of period polynomials for $\Gamma_0(N)$ and the action of Hecke operators on them. We study the action of Atkin-Lehner operators in more detail in §2.2, and in §2.3 we define primitive (new) subspaces. For square-free level N, in §2.4 we determine an explicit basis consisting of Atkin-Lehner eigenvectors of the "Eisenstein subspace" .

2.1. **Period polynomials and Hecke operators.** We start by recalling from [24] the definition of period polynomials for the congruence subgroup $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(N)$ of $\Gamma_1 = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $S = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, $U = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ be generators of Γ_1. We use the same notation for their images in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, which have orders 2, 3, respectively.

We fix a commutative base ring R of characteristic different from 2 and 3. Let $V_w(R)$ be the space of polynomials of degree at most w with coefficients in R, on which Γ_1 acts on the right by

$$P|_{-w}\gamma(X) = P(\gamma X)(cX+d)^w, \text{ for } \gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Gamma_1.$$

Let $V_w(N)_{/R}$ be the space of $|\Gamma\backslash\Gamma_1|$-tuples of polynomials, identified with maps $P : \Gamma\backslash\Gamma_1 \to V_w(R)$, on which Γ_1 acts by $P|\gamma(A) = P(A\gamma^{-1})|_{-w}\gamma$ for $A \in \Gamma\backslash\Gamma_1$, $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$. Since $-1 \in \Gamma$ we assume $w \geqslant 0$ is even. The space of period polynomials is defined by:

$$W_w(N)_{/R} = \{P \in V_w(N)_{/R} : P|(1+S) = P|(1+U+U^2) = 0\}.$$

If Γ is normalized by $\delta = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, then $W_w(N)_{/R}$ is preserved by the involution $P \mapsto P|\delta$, where $P|\delta(A) = P(\delta A\delta)|_{-w}\delta$, and so it decomposes into eigenspaces $W_w^{\pm}(N)_{/R}$ for δ with eigenvalue ± 1. We call even the polynomials in $W_w^+(N)$, and odd those in $W_w^-(N)$. This is motivated by the fact that for $P \in W_w^+(N)$ the polynomial $P(I)$ is even, but not all components $P(A)$ are necessarily even.

Remark 2.1. The space $W_w(N)_{/R}$ is isomorphic to the space $\mathrm{Symb}_{\Gamma_0(N)} V_w(R)$ of modular symbols introduced by Ash and Stevens in [2], and by [2, Prop. 4.2] we have a Hecke-equivariant isomorphism

$$W_w(N)_{/R} \simeq H_c^1(\Gamma_0(N), V_w(R)). \tag{2.1}$$

The compactly supported cohomology group is that of the local system associated to $V_w(R)$ on the modular surface $\Gamma\backslash\mathcal{H}$.

The module $V_w(N)_{/R}$ is simply the induced module $\mathrm{Ind}_{\Gamma}^{\Gamma_1} V_w(R)$, so, via Shapiro's lemma, another way to interpret the isomorphism (2.1) is:

$$W_w(N)_{/R} \simeq H_c^1(\Gamma_1, V_w(N)_{/R}).$$

Since Γ_1 has only one cusp fixed by $T = US$, the latter cohomology group can be identified with the set of Γ_1-cocycles which are 0 on T as in [14], and the isomorphism takes a polynomial P to the cocyle φ with $\varphi(T) = 0$, $\varphi(T) = P$–see also [24, Sec. 2].

We will use the isomorphism (2.1) in Section 4. We conclude that the combinatorial description of $W_w(N)_{/R}$ that we use throughout Section 2 gives us a way of studying "Eisenstein classes" in the compactly supported cohomology of the modular surface.

Throughout Section 2 we are interested in the case $R = \mathbb{C}$, and we set $V_w(N) = V_w(N)_{/\mathbb{C}}$, $W_w(N) = W_w(N)_{/\mathbb{C}}$. For a cuspform $f \in S_k(N)$, its period polynomial $\rho_f \in W_{k-2}(N)$ is defined by

$$\rho_f(A) = \int_0^{i\infty} f|_k A(z)(X-z)^{k-2}dz, \quad \forall A \in \Gamma\backslash\Gamma_1, \tag{2.2}$$

where we set $f|_k\sigma(z) = f(\sigma z)(cz+d)^{-k}$ for $\sigma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$, and $f|_k A$ is defined using any representative of the coset A.

To define the action of Hecke operators on period polynomials, let M_n be the set of 2×2 integral matrices of determinant n, and set $\overline{M}_n := M_n/\{\pm 1\}$, $R_n := \mathbb{Z}[\overline{M}_n]$. Let $\Sigma \subset M_n$

be a double coset of Γ, namely $\Sigma = \Gamma\Sigma\Gamma$ and the number of right cosets $|\Gamma\backslash\Sigma|$ is finite. The double coset Σ acts on $f \in M_k(N)$ by

$$f|[\Sigma] = n^{k-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma\backslash\Sigma} f|_k\sigma. \tag{2.3}$$

To define the corresponding action on period polynomials, we make the following assumption on the double coset Σ:

$$\text{The map } \Gamma\backslash\Sigma \longrightarrow \Gamma_1\backslash\Gamma_1\Sigma, \quad \Gamma\sigma \mapsto \Gamma_1\sigma \text{ is bijective ,} \tag{2.4}$$

or equivalently $|\Gamma\backslash\Sigma| = |\Gamma_1\backslash\Gamma_1\Sigma|$. For $P \in V_w(N)$ and $M \in M_n$, we define

$$P|_\Sigma M(A) = \begin{cases} P(A_M)|_{-w}M & \text{if } MA^{-1} = A_M^{-1}M_A \text{ with } A_M \in \Gamma_1, M_A \in \Sigma \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

Since both M and $-M$ act in the same way, the action of elements in $\overline{M}_n$ is also well defined, and by linearity it extends to an action of elements in R_n. It is not a proper action, but it is compatible with the action of Γ_1: for $g \in \Gamma_1$, $M \in \mathcal{M}_n$, we have $P|_\Sigma gM = (P|g)|_\Sigma M$, $P|_\Sigma Mg = (P|_\Sigma M)|g$.

Let M_n^∞ be a system of representantives which fix ∞ for the cosets $\Gamma_1\backslash M_n$, and let $T_n^\infty = \sum_{M \in M_n^\infty} M \in R_n$. Let $T = US = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ be a generator of the stabilizer of ∞. It was shown in [6] that there exists $\widetilde{T}_n \in R_n$ such that:

$$T_n^\infty(1-S) - (1-S)\widetilde{T}_n \in (1-T)R_n, \tag{2.6}$$

and in [24] we show that for $f \in S_k(N)$ we have $\rho_{f|[\Sigma]} = \rho_f|_\Sigma \widetilde{T}_n$.

2.2. **The Atkin-Lehner operator.** Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(N)$ and denote $w_N = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ N & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, $\sigma_N = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. The action of the Atkin-Lehner involution W_N on modular forms $f \in M_k(N)$ is given by $f|W_N = N^{k/2} f|_k w_N$. It is related to the action of the double coset

$$\Theta_N = \Gamma w_N \Gamma = \Gamma w_N = \left\{ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in M_N \ : \ N|a, N|d, N|c \right\}.$$

defined in (2.3) by $f|W_N = \dfrac{1}{N^{k/2-1}} f|[\Theta_N]$. We write $P|_\Theta \widetilde{T}_N$ instead of $P|_{\Theta_N}\widetilde{T}_N$ for the corresponding action on $P \in V_w(N)$ given by (2.5).

Lemma 2.2. (i) *We have a bijection $\Gamma_0(N)\backslash\Gamma_1 \to \Gamma_1\backslash\Gamma_1\sigma_N\Gamma_1$, given for $A \in \Gamma_0(N)\backslash\Gamma_1$ by*

$$A \mapsto K_A := \Gamma_1\sigma_N A.$$

(ii) *We have $K_A = \Gamma_1\Theta_N A$.*

Proof. (i) Since $\Gamma_0(N) = \Gamma_1 \cap \sigma_N^{-1}\Gamma_1\sigma_N$, the bijection follows from [27, Prop. 3.1].
(ii) This is immediate from $\Gamma_1\Theta_N = \Gamma_1\sigma_N = \left\{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in M_N : N|a, N|c\right\}$. □

For $K \in \Gamma_1\backslash M_n$ and $\widetilde{T}_n = \sum_{M \in M_n} c_M M \in R_n$, we denote $\widetilde{T}_n^{(K)} = \sum_{M \in K} c_M M$, the part of $\widetilde{T}_n$ supported by matrices in K.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $P \in V_w(N)$ and $\widetilde{T}_N \in R_N$. Then*

$$P|_\Theta \widetilde{T}_N(A) = P|_\Theta \widetilde{T}_N^{(K_A)}(A),$$

for $A \in \Gamma\backslash\Gamma_1$, where $K_A \in \Gamma_1\backslash M_N$ is the coset defined in Lemma 2.2.

Proof. By the definition (2.5), for $\widetilde{T}_N = \sum c_M M \in R_N$ we have

$$P|_\Theta \widetilde{T}_N(A) = \sum_{M \in \Gamma_1 \Theta_N A} c_M \cdot P(A_M)|_{-w} M = P|_\Theta \widetilde{T}_n^{(K_A)}(A), \tag{2.7}$$

where $A_M \in \Gamma \backslash \Gamma_1$ is the unique coset such that $A_M M A^{-1} \subset \Theta_N$. The last equality follows from Lemma 2.2 (ii). □

Example 2.4. For A the identity coset Γ, we have $K_A = \Gamma_1 \sigma_N$ and we can take

$$\widetilde{T}_N^{(K_A)} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right).$$

The space $W_w(1)$ contains the polynomial $1|_{-w}1 - S = 1 - X^w$, which corresponds to the Eisenstein series E_k as we will see in §2.4. Therefore $W_w(N)$ contains the polynomial $P_0 = \mathbf{1}|(1-S)$, with $\mathbf{1}$ the constant polynomial 1 in each coset. We next determine its image under the Atkin-Lehner operator, which will be used to determine a basis of the "Eisenstein part" of $W_w(N)$ when N is square-free.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $w \geqslant 2$ be even, and let $P_0 = \mathbf{1}|(1-S) \in W_w(N)$. For every $\widetilde{T}_N$ satisfying* (2.4) *we have*

$$P_0|_\Theta \widetilde{T}_N(A) = N_z^w - N_t^w X^w$$

where $A = \Gamma_0(N)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ z & t \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and for $N, a \in \mathbb{Z}$ we let $N_a = N/(N,a)$.

Proof. For a coset $K \in \Gamma_1 \backslash M_n$, let $M_K \in K \cap M_n^\infty$ be a fixed representative fixing ∞, and let $T_n^\infty = \sum_{K \in \Gamma_1 \backslash M_n} M_K$. Taking the part of relation (2.4) supported on matrices in K we have $(1-S)\widetilde{T}_n^{(K)} - (M_K - M_{KS}S) \in (1-T)\mathbb{Q}[K]$. Using Lemma 2.3 we obtain

$$P_0|_\Theta \widetilde{T}_N(A) = 1|_{-w}(1-S)\widetilde{T}_N^{(K_A)} = 1|_{-w}(M_{K_A} - M_{K_A S}S) = d^w - (d'X)^w,$$

where we write $M_{K_A} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, $M_{K_A S} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a' & b' \\ 0 & d' \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. One checks that $d' = N/\gcd(b,d)$, and since $K_A = \Gamma_1 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \Gamma_1 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} Nx & Ny \\ z & t \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ for $A = \Gamma_0(N)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, we obtain $a = (N,z)$, $(b,d) = (N,t)$. □

2.3. **Primitive spaces.** In this section we define subspaces $W_w(N)^{\mathrm{new}} \subset W_w(N)$ which contain the period polynomials of newforms in $M_{w+2}(N)$.

We start by recalling a characterization of newforms originally due to Serre for N prime. For a divisor M of N, let $\mathrm{Tr}_M^N : M_k(N) \to M_k(M)$ be the trace map $\mathrm{Tr}_M^N(f) = \sum_\sigma f|_k \sigma$, with the sum over a system of representantives for the cosets $\Gamma_0(N)\backslash\Gamma_0(M)$. For $p|N$, the space of forms which are new at p can be characterized as

$$M_k(N)^{p-\mathrm{new}} = \{f \in M_k(N) : \ \mathrm{Tr}_{N/p}^N(f) = \mathrm{Tr}_{N/p}^N(f|W_N) = 0\},$$

and we define the space of newforms $M_k(N)^{\mathrm{new}} = \cap_{p|N} M_k(N)^{p-\mathrm{new}}$. For cusp forms this agrees with the usual definition of newforms as those cusp forms orthogonal to forms coming from lower levels with respect to the Petersson inner product [20, Ch. VIII, Thm. 2.2], and it is easily seen that it agrees with the natural notion of newforms in the Eisenstein space as well.

Similary, for each divisor $M|N$, we let $\mathrm{Tr}_M^N : W_w(N) \to W_w(M)$ be the trace map:

$$\mathrm{Tr}_M^N(P)(C) = \sum_{B \in \Gamma_0(N)\backslash\Gamma_0(M)} P(BC),$$

for all $C \in \Gamma_0(M)\backslash\Gamma_1$. This is compatible with the trace defined on the cuspidal space: for $f \in S_{w+2}(N)$ we easily see that $\rho(\mathrm{Tr}_M^N f) = \mathrm{Tr}_M^N \rho(f)$. We therefore define the new subspace of $W_w(N)$ by $W_w(N)^{\mathrm{new}} = \cap_{p|N} W_w(N)^{p-\mathrm{new}}$, where for prime $p|N$ we define

$$W_w(N)^{p-\mathrm{new}} := \{P \in W_w(N) : \mathrm{Tr}_{N/p}^N(P) = \mathrm{Tr}_{N/p}^N(P|_\Theta \widetilde{T}_N) = 0\}. \tag{2.8}$$

Since the action of δ commutes with the trace map, we may define subspaces $W_w^{\pm}(N)^{p-\mathrm{new}}$ of $W_w^{\pm}(N)$. All these spaces can be defined over an arbitrary ring R, and we will need them in Section 4.2.

We also need the space of extended period polynomials $\widehat{W}_w(N)$, which contains the period polynomials $\widehat{\rho}_f$ of arbitrary modular forms $f \in M_{w+2}(N)$. We refer to [24, Sec. 8] for the definition, and we only recall that Hecke operators act as in Section 2.1 and we have

$$\widehat{\rho}_{f|[\Sigma]} = \widehat{\rho}_f|_\Sigma \widetilde{T}_n \,, \tag{2.9}$$

where Σ is a double coset contained in M_n satisfying (2.4). The space $\widehat{W}_w(N)$ is preserved by the involution $\delta = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, and we denote its ± 1 eigenspaces by $\widehat{W}_w^{\pm}(N)$. We define its new subspaces as in (2.8).

Proposition 2.6. *Let $w \geqslant 2$ be even. The two maps*

$$\widehat{\rho}^{\pm} : M_{w+2}(N) \to \widehat{W}_w^{\pm}(N), \quad f \mapsto \widehat{\rho}_f^{\pm}$$

are Hecke equivariant isomorphisms, and they map $M_{w+2}(N)^{p-\mathrm{new}}$ isomorphically onto $\widehat{W}_w^{\pm}(N)^{p-\mathrm{new}}$, for primes $p|N$.

Proof. That the two maps are isomorphisms is proved in [24, Prop. 8.4]. The second statement follows by using the characterisation of newforms above, together with the compatibility of the two isomorphisms with the trace map $\mathrm{Tr} = \mathrm{Tr}_M^N$ and with the Atkin-Lehner involution: $\widehat{\rho}^{\pm}_{\mathrm{Tr}(f)} = \mathrm{Tr}(\widehat{\rho}^{\pm}_f)$, $\widehat{\rho}^{\pm}_{f|W_N} = N^{-w/2}\widehat{\rho}^{\pm}_f|_\Theta \widetilde{T}_N$. □

When N is square-free, the next corollary shows that the extended polynomial $\widehat{\rho}_f^+$ is actually a period polynomial in $W_w^+(N)$.

Corollary 2.7. *Let $N > 1$ be square-free and let $w > 0$ be even. We have*

$$W_w^+(N) = \widehat{\rho}^+\big(M_{w+2}(N)\big), \quad W_w^+(N)^{\mathrm{new}} = \rho^+\big(S_{w+2}(N)^{\mathrm{new}}\big).$$

Proof. By [24, Prop. 4.4], for N square-free we have that $\rho^- : S_{w+2}(N) \to W_w^-(N)$ is an isomorphism, so $\dim W_w^+(N) = \dim M_{w+2}(N) = \dim \widehat{W}_w^+(N)$. It follows that $\widehat{W}_w^+(N) = W_w^+(N)$, and since $M_k(N)^{\mathrm{new}} = S_k(N)^{\mathrm{new}}$ the conclusion follows from Proposition 2.6. □

2.4. **Period polynomials of Eisenstein series.** We now specialize N to be square-free, and apply the results of the previous sections to determine the period polynomials of a basis of Eisenstein series in $M_k(N)$. Let $D(N)$ denote the divisors of N and let $\varepsilon : D(N) \to \{\pm 1\}$ be a system of Atkin-Lehner eigenvalues, namely $\varepsilon(a)\varepsilon(b) = \varepsilon(ab)$ if $(a,b) = 1$. Since $E_k|W_d(z) = d^{k/2}E_k(dz)$, the linear combination

$$E_{k,N}^{(\varepsilon)}(z) := \sum_{d|N} \varepsilon(d) d^{k/2} E_k(dz) \in M_k(N)$$

is an eigenform of W_d with eigenvalue $\varepsilon(d)$ for all $d|N$. When $N = p$ is prime, we identify ε with its value $\varepsilon(p) \in \{\pm 1\}$, and we recover the Eisenstein series $E_{k,p}^{(\varepsilon)}$ from the introduction.

For $f \in M_{w+2}(N)$, the extended polynomial $\widehat{\rho}_f^+$ actually belongs to $W_w^+(N)$ by Corollary 2.7. Just like $W_w(1)$ is spanned by $1 - X^w$ together with even and odd polynomials of modular forms, we immediately obtain the following explicit version of the Eichler-Shimura isomorphism.

Corollary 2.8. *Let $N \geqslant 1$ be square-free and $k \geqslant 4$ be even. We have*

$$W_{k-2}(N) = \rho^-(S_k(N)) \oplus \rho^+(S_k(N)) \oplus_\varepsilon \mathbb{C}\widehat{\rho}^+(E_{k,N}^{(\varepsilon)}),$$

where the sum is over all systems of Atkin-Lehner eigenvalues $\varepsilon : D(N) \to \{\pm 1\}$ and $\widehat{\rho}^+(E_{k,N}^{(\varepsilon)})$ are explicitly computed in Proposition 2.9

In the rest of this section we compute the even and odd parts of $\widehat{\rho}\big(E_{k,N}^{(\varepsilon)}\big)$. Note that (2.9) implies that $\widehat{\rho}^{\pm}\big(E_{k,N}^{(\varepsilon)}\big)$ is an eigenvector for the Hecke operators $\widetilde{T}_n$ with eigenvalue $\sigma_{k-1}(n)$ for $(n, N) = 1$, as well as an eigenvector for all Atkin-Lehner operators.

For $d|N$, the inclusion $M_k(d) \hookrightarrow M_k(N)$ corresponds to an inclusion

$$i_d^N : W_w(d) \hookrightarrow W_w(N)$$

described as follows. For $A \in \Gamma_0(N)\backslash\Gamma_1$, write $A = BC$ with $B \in \Gamma_0(N)\backslash\Gamma_0(d)$, $C \in \Gamma_0(d)\backslash\Gamma_1$. Then $(i_d^N P)(A) = P(C)$, and if $A = \Gamma_0(N)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ z & t \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ then $C = \Gamma_0(d)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ (z,d) & (t,d) \end{smallmatrix}\right)$.

For the Eisenstein series E_k we have

$$\widehat{\rho}^+(E_k) = \alpha(1 - x^{k-2}) \in W_{k-2}(1)$$

for an explicit nonzero constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $N \geqslant 1$ be square-free and $k = w + 2 \geqslant 4$ even. For $\varepsilon : D(N) \to \{\pm 1\}$ a system of Atkin-Lehner eigenvalues, we have*

$$\widehat{\rho}^+\big(E_{k,N}^{(\varepsilon)}\big) = \alpha \prod_{p|N}(1 + \varepsilon(p)p^{-w/2}) \cdot P^+\big(E_{k,N}^{(\varepsilon)}\big)$$

with $P^+\big(E_{k,N}^{(\varepsilon)}\big) \in W_w^+(N)$ given by

$$P^+(E_{k,N}^{(\varepsilon)})(A) = \varepsilon(N_z)N_z^{w/2} - \varepsilon(N_t)N_t^{w/2}X^w \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$$

for $A = \Gamma_0(N)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ z & t \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, where for $N, a \in \mathbb{Z}$ we let $N_a = N/(N, a)$.

Proof. By (2.9) we have $\widehat{\rho}^+(E_k|W_d) = d^{-w/2} \cdot [i_1^d\widehat{\rho}^+(E_k)]|_\Theta\widetilde{T}_d \in W_w(d)$, so we have

$$\widehat{\rho}^+\big(E_{k,N}^{(\varepsilon)}\big) = \sum_{d|N} \varepsilon(d)d^{-w/2} \cdot i_d^N[i_1^d\widehat{\rho}^+(E_k)|_\Theta\widetilde{T}_d]. \tag{2.10}$$

Note that $i_1^d\widehat{\rho}^+(E_k) = \alpha P_0 \in W_{k-2}(d)$, where P_0 is defined in Proposition 2.5, and applying the proposition we obtain:

$$i_d^N[i_1^d\widehat{\rho}^+(E_k)|_\Theta\widetilde{T}_d](A) = \alpha \cdot (d_z^w - d_t^w X^w), \qquad \text{for } A = \Gamma_0(N)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ z & t \end{smallmatrix}\right).$$

Summing over d yields the conclusion, since

$$\sum_{d|N} \varepsilon(d)d^{-w/2}d_z^w = \varepsilon(N_z)N_z^{w/2} \cdot \prod_{p|N}(1 + \varepsilon(p)p^{-w/2}).$$

□

We now pass to the computation of the odd part $\widehat{\rho}^-\big(E_{k,N}^{(\varepsilon)}\big)$. We recall from [24] that for $f \in M_k(N)$ we have $\widehat{\rho}(f) = \rho(f) + \rho^{\#}(f)$, with $\rho(f) \in V_{k-2}(N)$ and

$$\rho^{\#}(f)(A) = a_0(f|A)\frac{X^{k-1}}{k-1} + a_0(f|AS)\frac{X^{-1}}{k-1} \tag{2.11}$$

for $A \in \Gamma_0(N)\backslash\Gamma_1$, where $a_0(f|A)$ is the constant term in the Fourier expansion of $f|A$. The polynomial part $\rho(f)(A)$ has coefficients given by the critical values of the L-series of $f|A$, and we only need the following formula

$$\widehat{\rho}^-(E_k) = -\frac{B_k}{2k} \cdot \frac{X^{k-1} + X^{-1}}{k-1} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{0<n<w} \binom{k-2}{n-1}\frac{B_n}{n}\frac{B_{k-n}}{k-n}X^{n-1} \in \widehat{W}_{k-2}^-(1).$$

Proposition 2.10. *Let $N \geqslant 1$ be square-free, let $k = w+2 \geqslant 4$ be even, and let $\varepsilon : D(N) \to \{\pm 1\}$ a system of Atkin-Lehner eigenvalues. For the identity coset $I = \Gamma_0(N)$ we have*

$$\widehat{\rho}^{-}\big(E_{k,N}^{(\varepsilon)}\big)(I) = \sum_{d|N} \varepsilon(d) d^{-w/2} \cdot \widehat{\rho}^{-}(E_k)|_{-w} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right).$$

Proof. Apply (2.10) written for the odd part and use Lemma 2.3 (which is easily seen to hold for extended polynomials), and Example 2.4. □

We end this section by computing the image of the period polynomials of $E_{k,N}^{(\varepsilon)}$ under trace maps. Let $N = Mp$ with $(p, M) = 1$. Applying (1.3) to $E_{k,N}^{(\varepsilon)} = E_{k,M}^{(\varepsilon)}|(1 + \varepsilon(p)W_p)$, and using $\widehat{\rho}^{\pm}(\mathrm{Tr}_M^N f) = \mathrm{Tr}_M^N \widehat{\rho}^{\pm}(f)$ for $f \in M_k(N)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}_M^N P^{+}(E_{k,N}^{(\varepsilon)}) &= \big(1 + \varepsilon(p)p^{k/2}\big) \cdot P^{+}(E_{k,M}^{(\varepsilon)}) \\ \mathrm{Tr}_M^N \widehat{\rho}^{-}(E_{k,N}^{(\varepsilon)}) &= \big(1 + \varepsilon(p)p^{k/2}\big)\big(p^{-w/2} + \varepsilon\big) \cdot \widehat{\rho}^{-}(E_{k,M}^{(\varepsilon)}) \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

where in the first equation we used Proposition 2.9, and on the right sides we view ε as restricted to $D(M)$.

3. Proof of Theorems 1 and 2

Let $N = Mp$ with $p \nmid M$ as in the introduction, let $g \in M_k(M)$ be a newform of level M with Fourier coefficients $\lambda_n(g) = \lambda_n$, and let $g_p^{(\varepsilon)}$ be defined as in (1.2) for $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$. This includes the case $M = 1$ and $g = E_k$ in Theorem 1. We observe that if f is a newform in $S_k^{(\varepsilon)}(N)$ which is new at p, then

$$\lambda_{p^n}(f) \equiv \lambda_{p^n}(g_p^{(\varepsilon)}) = \lambda_{p^n} + \varepsilon p^{k/2} \lambda_{p^{n-1}} \pmod{\lambda_p + \varepsilon p^{k/2-1}(p+1)}$$

for all $n \geqslant 1$. This follows by induction from $\lambda_{p^n}(f) = (-\varepsilon p^{k/2-1})^n$ [1, Thm. 3], and from the recurrence $\lambda_{p^n} = \lambda_p \lambda_{p^{n-1}} + p^{k-1}\lambda_{p^{n-2}}$ satisfied by Hecke eigenvalues. This proves the congruences in both theorems for Fourier coefficients of index divisible by p, and it remains to prove the existence of a newform $f \in S_k^{(\varepsilon)}(N)^{p-\mathrm{new}}$ with Hecke eigenvalues $\lambda_n(f) \equiv \lambda_n \pmod{\mathcal{I}}$ for $(n, p) = 1$.

For ℓ a prime with $\ell > k-2$, $\ell \nmid 3N$ and $\ell \neq k+1$, let R be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ containing the coefficients of all newforms in $S_k^{(\varepsilon)}(N)$. Let π be a uniformizer in R and $F = R/\pi R$ its the residue field. Set $w = k-2$. By Theorem 3, the reduction map $W_w(N)_{/R}^{p-\mathrm{new}} \to W_w(N)_{/F}^{p-\mathrm{new}}$ is surjective, and both theorems follow from the Deligne-Serre lifting lemma, once we produce an element in $W_w(N)_{/F}^{p-\mathrm{new}}$ which is an eigenvector for the Hecke operators of index coprime to p and for the Atkin-Lehner involution W_p, with eigenvalues congruent to those of $g_p^{(\varepsilon)}$. The Deligne-Serre lemma would then provide a system of Hecke and Atkin-Lehner eigenvalues on $W_w(N)_{/R}^{p-\mathrm{new}}$ congruent to those of $g_p^{(\varepsilon)}$. By Proposition 2.6 we then conclude the existence of a newform $f \in M_k^{(\varepsilon)}(N)$ which is p-new, satisfying the desired congruence. But there are no p-new Eisenstein series in $M_k(N)$, as $p \nmid M$, so the form f must be a cusp form.

To construct the desired finite period polynomial in both theorems we proceed as follows.

- Theorem 1, $\ell | p^{k/2} + \varepsilon$. From (2.12) we obtain that $P^{+}(E_{k,p}^{(\varepsilon)}) \pmod{\ell}$ belongs to $W_w(p)_{/\mathbb{F}_\ell}^{\mathrm{new}}$, and it is nonzero since $P^{+}(E_{k,p}^{(\varepsilon)})(I) = 1 - \varepsilon p X^w$, by Proposition 2.9.
- Theorem 1, $\ell \nmid p^{k/2} + \varepsilon$. It follows that ℓ divides the numerator of B_k/k, so $\ell \neq k-1$, and (2.11) shows that $\widehat{\rho}^{-}(E_{k,p}^{(\varepsilon)}) \equiv \rho^{-}(E_{k,p}^{(\varepsilon)}) \pmod{\ell}$ belongs to $W_w(p)_{/\mathbb{F}_\ell}$. Since

$\ell | p^{w/2}+\varepsilon$, it follows from (2.12) that $\widehat{\rho}^{-}(E_{k,p}^{(\varepsilon)}) \pmod{\ell}$ actually belongs to $W_w(p)^{\mathrm{new}}_{/\mathbb{F}_\ell}$. From Proposition 2.10 we have

$$\widehat{\rho}^{-}\big(E_{k,p}^{(\varepsilon)}\big)(I) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\sum_{0<n<w}\binom{k-2}{n-1}\frac{B_n}{n}\frac{B_{k-n}}{k-n}(1-p^{n-1})X^{n-1} \pmod{\ell}.$$

The denominators in this formula have prime factors which are smaller than ℓ, since $\ell > k-2$, and the extra assumption ensures that the previous element is nonzero.

• Theorem 2. Let $P^{\pm}(g) \in W_w(M)$ be the multiples of $\rho^{\pm}(g)$ which are normalized as in the paragraph following Theorem 2. The condition $k \geqslant 6$ is required to guarantee that the coefficient of X in $P^{-}(g)(I)$ is nonzero, being proportional to the value at $s = k-2$ of the L-function $L(s, g)$. By a well-known rationality result, e.g. [24, Prop. 5.11], the coefficients of $P^{\pm}(g)$ belong to K_g. From (1.3) we obtain as before

$$\mathrm{Tr}_M^N\left(P^{\pm}(g_p^{(\varepsilon)})\right) = (1+p+\varepsilon p^{-k/2+1}a_p)\cdot P^{\pm}(g),$$

where $P^{\pm}(g_p^{(\varepsilon)}) := i_M^N P^{\pm}(g) + \varepsilon p^{-w/2} i_M^N P^{\pm}(g)|_\Theta \widetilde{T}_p$ is a multiple of $\rho^{\pm}(g_p^{(\varepsilon)})$. By assumption, either $P^{+}(g)$ or $P^{-}(g)$ have denominators coprime to $\mathcal{I}$, so the same is true about at least one of $P^{\pm}(g_p^{(\varepsilon)})$. It follows that one of $P^{\pm}(g_p^{(\varepsilon)}) \pmod{\mathcal{I}}$ belongs to $W_w^{\pm}(N)^{p-\mathrm{new}}_{/F}$, and we fix this choice of sign.

To see that it is nonzero, we evaluate it on the identity coset I. Setting $P = P^{\pm}(g)(I)$ for the choice of sign above, we obtain by the definition (2.2) and a change of variables:

$$P^{\pm}(g_p^{(\varepsilon)})(I)(X) = P(X) + \varepsilon p^{-w/2} P(pX).$$

Due to the normalization of P, the constant term is $1+\varepsilon p^{-w/2}$ for P^{+} and the coefficient of X is $1+\varepsilon p^{-w/2+1}$ for P^{-}, which are nonzero mod ℓ in either case by assumption (1.6).

Remark 3.1. We found numerically that the extra assumption $\ell \nmid B_n B_{k-n}(p^{n-1}-1)$ in Theorem 1 is not needed for weights $k \leqslant 5\cdot 10^4$, by considering $n = 2$ and $n = 4$.

More precisely, assume $\ell \nmid p^{k/2}+\varepsilon$. It follows from (1.4) that that $\ell | p^{k/2-1}+\varepsilon$, $\ell | B_k$, and $\ell \nmid p-1$, so the assumption is satisfied for $n = 2$, unless $\ell | B_{k-2}$. For $k \leqslant 5\cdot 10^4$ there are only two pairs (k, ℓ) with k even and $\ell > k-2$ prime, such that ℓ divides the numerator of both B_k and B_{k-2}, namely $(92, 587)$ and $(338, 491)$. Note that in both cases $3 \nmid \ell - 1$, and since $\ell | p^{\ell-1}-1$ it follows that $\ell \nmid p^3-1$ (otherwise we would have $\ell | p-1$, contradicting the assumption). It follows that $\ell \nmid B_4 B_{k-4}(p^3-1)$ for those two values of k, so the assumption is satisfied for $n = 4$.

Note that the same assumption, without the factor $p^{n-1}-1$, appears in Haberland's proof of the Ramanujan congruence (1.1) [14, Sec. 5.2]. There the assumption guarantees the nonvanishing of the reduction mod ℓ of an Eisenstein cocycle in $H^1(\Gamma_1, V_w(R))$ associated to $\widehat{\rho}^{-}(E_k)$.

4. Surjectivity of reduction maps on spaces of period polynomials

In this section we use the isomorphism $W_w(N)_{/R} \simeq H_c^1(\Gamma_0(N), V_w(R))$ in Remark 2.1 to prove Theorem 3.

4.1. **Surjectivity of reduction for the whole space.** We first need a lemma computing the dimension of the cohomology of $\Gamma_1 = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, for which we start in greater generality. Let V be a right Γ_1-module, and assume, as it will always be the case, that $-1 \in \Gamma_1$ acts trivially on V. Therefore the cohomology groups we consider are the same when replacing Γ_1 by $\overline{\Gamma}_1 = \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Since $\overline{\Gamma}_1$ is a free product of its subgroups G_2 and G_3 generated by S and U, the Meyer-Vietoris exact sequence in group cohomology [4, Sec. VII.9] gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to H^0(\overline{\Gamma}_1, V) \to H^0(G_2, V) \oplus H^0(G_3, V) \to H^0(G_2 \cap G_3, V) \to \\ \to H^1(\overline{\Gamma}_1, V) \to H^1(G_2, V) \oplus H^1(G_3, V) \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that the Γ_1-module V is defined over a field F of characteristic $\mathrm{char}(F) \neq 2, 3$. We have*

$$\dim H^1(\Gamma_1, V) = \dim V - \dim H^0(G_2, V) - \dim H^0(G_3, V) + \dim H^0(\Gamma_1, V).$$

Proof. The assumption $\mathrm{char}(F) \neq 2, 3$ implies that $H^j(G_i, V) = 0$ for $j \geqslant 1$, $i = 2, 3$, so the conclusion immediately follows from the Meyer-Vietoris sequence. □

Let R be a discrete valuation ring with residue field F. The surjectivity of more general reduction maps on compactly supported cohomology was proved by Hida [16, Eq. (1.16)] for congruence groups with no elliptic elements, by a geometric argument. We give an algebraic proof here, valid for groups with elliptic elements as well.

Proposition 4.2. *If the residue field F has characteristic $\ell > w$, $\ell \neq 2, 3$, then the reduction map $W_w(N)_{/R} \to W_w(N)_{/F}$ is surjective.*

Proof. The reduction map is a composition

$$W_w(N)_{/R} \twoheadrightarrow W_w(N)_{/R} \otimes F \hookrightarrow W_w(N)_{/F},$$

with the first map surjective and the second map injective. Therefore surjectivity reduces to the equality of the dimensions of the last two spaces as vector spaces over F.

Since R is a DVR, $W_w(N)_{/R}$ is a free R-module so

$$\dim W_w(N)_{/R} \otimes F = \mathrm{rank}\, W_w(N)_{/R} = \dim W_w(N)_{/\mathbb{C}} = \dim H^1(\Gamma_0(N), V_w(\mathbb{C})),$$

where the second equality follows from the fact that $W_w(N)_{/\mathbb{Z}}$ is a sublattice of $W_w(N)_{/\mathbb{C}}$, and the third follows from $W_w(N)_{/\mathbb{C}} \simeq H^1_c(\Gamma_0(N), V_w(\mathbb{C}))$ and Poincaré duality over $\mathbb{C}$.

The hypothesis $\ell > w$ implies that the Γ_1-invariant pairing on $V(F)$ induced by the natural Γ_1-invariant pairing on V_w is nondegenerate, so $V^*(F) \simeq V(F)$. By Poincaré duality [2, Lemma 1.4.3], it follows that

$$\dim W_w(N)_{/F} = \dim_F H^1_c(\Gamma_0(N), V_w(F)) = \dim_F H^1(\Gamma_0(N), V_w(F)).$$

Lemma 4.1 shows that $\dim_F H^1(\Gamma_1, V_w(N)_{/F})$ is the same for all fields F with $\mathrm{char}(F) \neq 2, 3$, where $V_w(N)_{/F}$ is the induced module $\mathrm{Ind}_{\Gamma_0(N)}^{\Gamma_1} V_w(F)$. Applying this to the residue field F and to $\mathbb{C}$, and using the Shapiro lemma, we conclude from the last two displayed equations that $\dim W_w(N)_{/R} \otimes F = \dim W_w(N)_{/F}$. □

4.2. **Surjectivity of reduction for the new subspace.** For p a prime dividing N, in (2.8) we have defined

$$W_w(N)_{/R}^{p-\mathrm{new}} = \mathrm{Ker}\left(\beta : W_w(N)_{/R} \to W_w(N/p)^2_{/R}\right)$$

where $\beta(P) = (\mathrm{Tr}_M^N P, \mathrm{Tr}_M^N P|_\Theta \widetilde{T}_N)$. We recall that the operator $|_\Theta \widetilde{T}_N$ on $W_w(N)$ corresponds to the Atkin-Lehner involution W_N on $M_{w+2}(N)$.

Let R be a discrete valuation ring with residue field F of characteristic ℓ. For p a prime not dividing M, let $\Gamma_0(M)' := \left(\begin{smallmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)^{-1} \Gamma_0(M) \left(\begin{smallmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Since $\Gamma_0(M) \cap \Gamma_0(M)' = \Gamma_0(Mp)$, we can consider the sum of restriction maps:

$$\alpha : H^1(\Gamma_0(M), V_w(F)) \oplus H^1(\Gamma_0(M)', V_w(F)) \to H^1(\Gamma_0(Mp), V_w(F)). \tag{4.2}$$

We prove below that the maps α and β are essentially Poincaré dual to each other.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $N = Mp$ with p prime, $(p, M) = 1$, and assume that $\ell > w$, $\ell \nmid 6$. The following are equivalent:*

(i) *The reduction map $W_w(N)^{p-\mathrm{new}}_{/R} \to W_w(N)^{p-\mathrm{new}}_{/F}$ is surjective.*
(ii) *The map $\beta : W_w(N)_{/F} \to W_w(M)^2_{/F}$ is surjective.*
(iii) *The map α given by (4.2) is injective.*

Proof. (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii): The space $W_w(N)^{p-\mathrm{new}}_{/R}$ is free over R, of rank equal to the dimension of $W_w(N)^{p-\mathrm{new}}_{/\mathbb{C}}$. Since R is a DVR, this rank also equals the dimension of the reduction $W_w(N)^{p-\mathrm{new}}_{/R} \otimes F$, so (i) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}\dim W_w(N)^{p-\mathrm{new}}_{/F} &= \dim W_w(N)^{p-\mathrm{new}}_{/\mathbb{C}} \\ &= \dim W_w(N)_{/\mathbb{C}} - 2\dim W_w(M)_{/\mathbb{C}}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\ell > w$, we have $\dim W_w(N)_{/\mathbb{C}} = \dim W_w(N)_{/F}$, as in the proof of Proposition 4.2, so the equality above takes place if and only if β is surjective.

(ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii): Since the residue field F and w are fixed, we write $V = V_w(F)$. We have $W_w(N)_{/F} \simeq H^1_c(\Gamma_0(N), V)$, and $\mathrm{Tr}^N_M : W_w(N)_{/F} \to W_w(M)_{/F}$ corresponds to the corestriction map on the compactly supported cohomology groups, while the map $P \mapsto P|_\Theta \widetilde{T}_N$ on $W_w(N)_{/F}$ corresponds to the Atkin-Lehner operator $[\Theta_N]$ on $H^1_c(\Gamma_0(N), V)$. Therefore the first part in the diagram below is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_w(N)_{/F} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & H^1_c(\Gamma_0(N), V) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle (\mathrm{cor}, \mathrm{cor}\circ[\Theta_N])} \\ W_w(M)^2_{/F} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & H^1_c(\Gamma_0(M), V)^2 \end{array} \quad \times \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^1(\Gamma_0(N), V) & \longrightarrow & F \\ & & \Big\uparrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{res} + [\Theta_N]\circ\mathrm{res}} \\ H^1(\Gamma_0(M), V)^2 & \longrightarrow & F \end{array}$$

The second part is given by Poincaré duality, taking into account that $V \simeq V^*$ since $\ell > w$. For $\varphi \in H^1_c(\Gamma_0(N), V)$, $\psi \in H^1(\Gamma_0(M), V)$ and $\varphi' \in H^1(\Gamma_0(N), V)$ we have [17, Sec. 6.3]

$$\langle \mathrm{cor}\,\varphi, \psi\rangle_M = \langle \varphi, \mathrm{res}\,\psi\rangle_N, \quad \langle \varphi|[\Theta_N], \varphi'\rangle = \langle \varphi, \varphi'|[\Theta_N]\rangle.$$

Since Poincaré duality is a perfect pairing, it follows that β is surjective if and only if the rightmost map is injective.

Let $c_p : H^1(\Gamma_0(M), V) \to H^1(\Gamma_0(M)', V)$ be conjugation by $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. We easily check that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^1(\Gamma_0(N), V) & & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{[\Theta_N]} & & H^1(\Gamma_0(N), V) \\ \Big\uparrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{res}} & & & & \Big\uparrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{res}} \\ H^1(\Gamma_0(M), V) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{[\Theta_M]} & H^1(\Gamma_0(M), V) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{c_p} & H^1(\Gamma_0(M)', V) \end{array}$$

which shows that the rightmost map in the diagram differs from α only by the isomorphism $c_p \circ [\Theta_M]$ in the second factor, so rightmost map is injective if and only if α is injective. □

We are reduced to proving the injectivity of α, for which we use the ingredients of Ihara's lemma [18, Lemma 3.2]. Our proof is inspired by the proof of similar statements for parabolic cohomology given in [26, 9]. First we need an easy lemma.

Lemma 4.4. *Consider the* $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$*-module* $V_w(F)$*, with* F *a field of characteristic* $\ell > w$*, and let* $u = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ *with* $l \nmid a$*. We have:*

(i) $\mathrm{Im}(1-u) = V_{w-1}(F)$*, and* $\mathrm{Ker}(1-u) = F$*, the constant polynomials;*

(ii) $\mathrm{Ker}(1+u+\ldots+u^{\ell-1}) = V_w(F)$*.*

Proof. (i) The matrix of $1-u$ in the basis $1, X, \ldots, X^w$ is upper triangular, with 0's on the diagonal and elements $\binom{n}{i}a^i$ with $w \geqslant n \geqslant i$ below the diagonal, which are invertible in F since $\ell > w$. It follows that $\mathrm{Ker}(1-u) = F$, and since $\mathrm{Im}(1-u)$ is contained in $V_{w-1}(F)$ it must be the entire subspace.

(ii) Since u^ℓ acts as identity on $V_w(F)$, we have $\mathrm{Im}(1-u) \subset \mathrm{Ker}(1+u+\ldots+u^{\ell-1})$. By (i) we only have to check that $X^w \in \mathrm{Ker}(1+u+\ldots+u^{\ell-1})$, e.g. by induction on w. □

Proposition 4.5. *Let* $p \nmid M$ *be prime and let let* $V = V_w(F)$ *with* F *a field of characteristic* $\ell > w$*,* $\ell \nmid 6pM$*. If* $\ell \neq w+3$*, then the restriction map*

$$\alpha : H^1(\Gamma_0(M), V) \oplus H^1(\Gamma_0(M)', V) \ \to H^1(\Gamma_0(Mp), V)$$

is injective, while if $\ell = w+3$ *its kernel is one-dimensional.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma(M)$ be the principal congruence subgroup of level M of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, and $\Gamma(M)' := \left(\begin{smallmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)^{-1} \Gamma(M) \left(\begin{smallmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Their intersection is $\Gamma(M) \cap \Gamma_0(p) \subset \Gamma_0(Mp)$, and we have a commutative diagram of restriction maps[1]

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(\Gamma_0(M), V) \oplus H^1(\Gamma_0(M)', V) & \xrightarrow{\ \alpha\ } & H^1(\Gamma_0(Mp), V) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{res}} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{res}} \\ H^1(\Gamma(M), V) \oplus H^1(\Gamma(M)', V) & \xrightarrow{\ \gamma\ } & H^1(\Gamma(M) \cap \Gamma(M)', V) \end{array}$$

The first vertical restriction is injective: from the inflation-restriction exact sequence it is enough to show that the space of invariants $V^{\Gamma(M)} = 0$, and this follows since the invariants under $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & M \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ are the constant polynomials, by Lemma 4.4, while the only constant invariant under $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ M & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ is 0. Therefore to show that α is injective it is enough to show that γ is injective.

We prove that γ is injective by using the following two ingredients of Ihara's lemma [18, Lemma 3.2]. Let Δ_M be the principal congruence subgroups of level M of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[1/p])$.

I1. The group Δ_M is the free product of $\Gamma(M)$ and $\Gamma(M)'$ with amalgamated subgroup $\Gamma(M) \cap \Gamma(M)'$;

I2. The group Δ_M is the normal closure of $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & M \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ in Δ_1.

By I1. and the Meyer-Vietoris exact sequence we have:

$$0 \to H^1(\Delta_M, V) \to H^1(\Gamma(M), V) \oplus H^1(\Gamma(M)', V) \ \xrightarrow{\gamma} H^1(\Gamma(M) \cap \Gamma(M)', V) \to \cdots,$$

where the first term is $H^0(\Gamma(M) \cap \Gamma(M)', V) = 0$. Therefore $\mathrm{Ker}\,\gamma = H^1(\Delta_M, V)$, and we have to show this last cohomology vanishes or it is one dimensional.

The inflation-restriction exact sequence for the normal subgroup $\Delta_{\ell M} \subset \Delta_M$ gives:

$$0 \to H^1(\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell), V^{\Delta_{\ell M}}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{inf}} H^1(\Delta_M, V) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{res}} H^1(\Delta_{\ell M}, V)^{\Delta_M/\Delta_{\ell M}}.$$

We have $V^{\Delta_{\ell M}} = V$, and we will see in Proposition 4.6 below that $H^1(\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell), V)$ vanishes under the assumption $\ell \neq w+3$. Therefore to show $H^1(\Delta_M, V) = 0$ it suffices to prove that the restriction map is identically 0.

[1]The cohomology groups do not change upon replacing $\Gamma_0(M)$ by its projectivization $\Gamma_0(M)/\{\pm 1\}$, as -1 acts trivially on V.

To show res = 0 we use I2., namely that $\Delta_{\ell M}$ is generated by elements of the form $g\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1 & \ell M\\ 0 & 1\end{smallmatrix}\right)g^{-1}$, $g \in \Delta_1$. Writing $g\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1 & \ell M\\ 0 & 1\end{smallmatrix}\right)g^{-1} = v^\ell$, with $v = g\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1 & M\\ 0 & 1\end{smallmatrix}\right)g^{-1} \in \Delta_M$, for any cocycle $\varphi \in Z^1(\Delta_M, V)$ we have

$$\varphi(v^\ell) = \varphi(v)|(1 + v + \ldots + v^{\ell-1}) = (\varphi(v)|g)|(1 + u + \ldots + u^{\ell-1})|g^{-1} = 0,$$

by Lemma 4.4 (ii), where $u = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}1 & M\\ 0 & 1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$. So $\operatorname{res}\varphi = 0$, finishing the proof. □

It remains to prove the vanishing of a finite cohomology group, which was essentially proved in [19, Theorem 1.5.3]. Since the proof there was only sketched, and since we need a slightly more general ground field, we fill in the details below. The entire structure of the cohomology ring is also determined in [28].

Proposition 4.6. *Let $\ell > w$, $\ell > 3$ and let F be a field of characteristic ℓ. Then the cohomology group $H^1(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell), V_w(F))$ vanishes, unless $\ell = w+3$ when it is one-dimensional.*

Proof. We set $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$, $V = V_w(F)$, and consider more generally $H^n(\Gamma, V)$ for $n > 0$. Let $B \subset \Gamma$ be the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices, so that $|B| = \ell(\ell-1)$. The composition $\operatorname{cor} \circ \operatorname{res} : H^n(\Gamma, V) \to H^n(B, V) \to H^n(\Gamma, V)$ of the restriction and transfer maps equals multiplication by the index $[\Gamma : B] = \ell + 1$ [4, Ch. III, Prop. 9.5], which is an isomorphism of vector spaces over F as the index is coprime to ℓ. The composition $\operatorname{res} \circ \operatorname{cor}$ also equals multiplication by $[\Gamma : B]$, by the Cartan-Eilenberg stability criterion [5, Ch. XII Prop. 9.4]. To apply the criterion, we have to check that $H^n(B, V)$ consists of stable cohomology classes, namely for all $x \in \Gamma$ we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^n(B,V) & \xrightarrow{\quad\simeq\quad} & H^n(xBx^{-1},V) \\ & {\scriptstyle\mathrm{res}}\searrow \quad \swarrow{\scriptstyle\mathrm{res}} & \\ & H^n(B\cap xBx^{-1},V) & \end{array}$$

with the horizontal isomorphism being conjugation by x. To prove the commutativity, note that $B \cap xBx^{-1}$ is either B (when $x \in B$), or the diagonal subgroup T (when $x \notin B$). In the first case the stament is trivial, while in the second it follows from the fact that $H^n(T, V) = 0$, as T is cyclic of order $\ell - 1$ coprime to ℓ. We conclude that $H^n(\Gamma, V) \simeq H^n(B, V)$.

Let $U = \{\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1 & *\\ 0 & 1\end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Gamma\}$, which is normal in B with $B/U \simeq T$, the diagonal subgroup. The subgroup U is normal in B, and the inflation-restriction exact sequence together with $H^n(T, V) = 0$ for $n > 0$ implies that

$$H^n(B, V) \simeq H^n(U, V)^{B/U}.$$

Since U is cyclic generated by $u = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}1 & 1\\ 0 & 1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$, its cohomology $H^n(U, V)$ equals $\operatorname{Ker} N/\operatorname{Im}(1-u)$ if n is odd and $\operatorname{Ker}(1-u)/\operatorname{Im} N$ if $n > 0$ is even [4, p. 58], where $N = 1 + u + \ldots + u^{\ell-1} : V \to V$ is the norm map. By Lemma 4.4 we obtain $H^n(U, V) \simeq k$ for all $n \geqslant 0$.

To compute the invariants under B/U assume $n = 1$, and let $\varphi : U \to V$ be the generator of $H^1(U, V)$ with $\varphi(u) = X^w \notin \operatorname{Im}(1-u)$. The group $B/U \simeq T$ acts on cocycles by $\varphi|g(n) = \varphi(gng^{-1})|_{-w}g$ for $g \in G, n \in U$, so the class of φ is invariant under T if and only if $\varphi(u) - \varphi(tut^{-1})|_{-w}t \in \operatorname{Im}(1-u) = V_{w-1}$ for $t = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}a & 0\\ 0 & a^{-1}\end{smallmatrix}\right) \in T$ (i.e. $\varphi - \varphi|t$ is a coboundary). Since $\varphi(u) = X^w$, this happens iff $a^{w+2} = 1$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_\ell^*$, i.e., iff $\ell - 1 | w + 2$. Since $\ell > w$, $\ell \geqslant 5$, we conclude that $H^1(U, V)^{B/U} = 0$ unless $\ell = w + 3$, when it is one dimensional. □

5. Numerical examples

Example 5.1. Let $p = 19$, $k = 6$, $\ell = k+1 = 7$. Although $\ell = k+1$ divides the denominator of B_k (which always holds when $k+1$ is prime by the Clausen-von Staudt Theorem), we have $\ell^3 | p^{k/2}+1$, so ℓ divides the numerator of $\frac{B_k}{2k}(p^{k/2}+1)$. The congruence follows from the modification of the argument in [12] given in the introduction. Indeed there is a congruence between $E_{k,p}^{(+1)}$ and the newform $f \in S_6^{(+1)}(19)$ with q-expansion:

$$f = q - 2q^2 - q^3 - 28q^4 - 24q^5 + 2q^6 - 167q^7 + 120q^8 + \ldots - 361q^{19} + \ldots$$

Example 5.2. One may ask whether the congruence in Theorem 1 comes from a congruence between the period polynomials $P^+(f)$ and $P^+(E_{k,p}^{(\varepsilon)})$ of the forms in the theorem (both normalized to have constant term 1 at the identity coset). Such a congruence would imply the congruence of Hecke eigenvalues, and for level 1 it was shown to hold by Manin [21] in the cases when $S_k(1)$ is one dimentional. In higher level, this congruence often holds (see the next example), but the following example shows that it can also fail. For $p = 5$, $k = 40$, $\ell = 71$, $\varepsilon = -1$, we have $\ell | p^{k/2} + \varepsilon$ and the congruence (1.5) holds for some $f \in S_k^{(\varepsilon)}(p)$, but $P^+(f)(I) \not\equiv P^+(E_{k,p}^{(\varepsilon)})(I) \pmod{\mathcal{I}}$. This illustrates the fact that the Deligne-Serre lifting lemma guarantees the lift of systems of eigenvalues, but not of eigenvectors.

Example 5.3. Let $M = 7$, $k = 6$, and let $g \in S_k(M)$ be the newform

$$g = q - 10q^2 - 14q^3 + 68q^4 - 56q^5 + 140q^6 - 49q^7 + \ldots + 1824q^{23} + \ldots$$

The cosets $A = \Gamma_0(M)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ x & y \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ can be identified with points $(x : y) \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})$, and setting $P = P^+(g)$, we can use the relations $P|\delta = P$, $P|S = -P$ to express all 8 components of P in terms of

$$P(0,1) = -49X^4 + 1, \quad P(1,2) = 80X^4 - \frac{43}{2}X^3 - \frac{129}{2}X^2 - 86X + 6,$$
$$P(1,1) = -49X^4 + 49, \quad P(1,3) = -6X^4 - 86X^3 + \frac{129}{2}X^2 - \frac{43}{2}X - 80.$$

Since 43 divides all the coefficients except for those of X^0 and X^4, this illustrates the previous comment, namely we have $P^+(g) \equiv P^+(E_{k,M}^{(+1)}) \pmod{43}$. This implies directly that $g \equiv E_{k,M}^{(+1)} \pmod{43}$, and indeed we have $43 | 7^3 + 1$, so the congruence follows from Theorem 1.

To apply Theorem 2, we note that Den $P^+(g) = 2$ in (1.6), so this condition poses no restriction. Taking $p = 2$, we find $11 | \lambda_p - p^{k/2-1}(p+1)$, and since $11 \nmid p^{k/2-1} - 1 = 3$ we find from Theorem 2 that there exists a congruence between $g_p^{(-1)}$ and a newform $f \in S_6(14)$ which is 2-new and has eigenvalue -1 for W_2. In fact, we find a newform f of level 14 (as predicted by the conjecture in the introduction) with q-expansion

$$f = q + 4q^2 + 8q^3 + 16q^4 + 10q^5 + 32q^6 - 49q^7 + \ldots + 2000q^{23} + \ldots$$

References

[1] A.O.L Atkin, J. Lehner, *Hecke Operators on* $\Gamma_0(m)$. Math. Ann. 185 (1970), 134–160

[2] A. Ash, G. Stevens, *Cohomology arithmetic groups and congruences between systems of Hecke eigenvalues*, Journal für reine und angew. Math. (1985), 192–220;

[3] N. Billerey, R. Menares, *On the modularity of reducible mod l Galois representations.* Math. Res. Lett. 23 (2016), 15–41

[4] K.S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups.* GTM 87, Springer-Verlag, 1982

[5] H. Cartan, S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*. Princeton University Press, 1956

[6] Y.J. Choie, D. Zagier, *Rational period functions for* $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, Contemporary Math. 143 (1993), 89-108.

[7] B. Datskovsky, P. Guerzhoy, *On Ramanujan Congruences for Modular Forms of Integral and Half-Integral Weights*, Proc. of the AMS 124/8 (1996), 2283-2291
[8] P. Deligne, J.-P. Serre, *Formes modulaires de poids 1.* Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. 7 (1974), 507–530
[9] F. Diamond, *Congruence primes for cusp forms of weight $k \geqslant 2$.* Astérisque 196-197 (1991), 205–213
[10] F. Diamond, R. Taylor, *Non-optimal levels of mod l modular representations.* Invent. Math. 115 (1994), 435–462
[11] N. Dummigan, *Period ratios of modular forms.* Math. Ann. 318 (2000), 621–636
[12] N. Dummigan, D. Fretwell, *Ramanujan-style congruences of local origin.* J. Number Theory 143 (2014), 248–261
[13] B. Edixhoven, *Serre's Conjecture*, in *Modular Forms and Fermat's Last Theorem* (G. Cornell, J. H. Silverman, G. Stevens, eds.), 209-242, Springer, New York, 1997.
[14] H. Haberland, *Perioden von Modulformen einer Variablen und Gruppenkohomologie, I*, Math. Nachr. 112 (1983), 245–282
[15] G. Harder, *Cohomology of arithmetic groups.* File "Chaptersix 1.pdf" recovered from http://www.math.uni-bonn.de/people/harder/
[16] H. Hida, *Congruences of Cusp Forms and Special Values of Their Zeta Functions.* Invent. Math. 63 (1981), 225–261
[17] H. Hida, *Elementary Theory of L-funcitons and Eisenstein series.* Cambridge University Press, 1993
[18] Y. Ihara, *On Modular Curves Over Finite Fields.* Proceedings of the International Colloquium on Discrete Subgroups of Lie Groups and Applications to Moduli, Bombay (1973), 161–202.
[19] M. Kuga, W. Parry, C.-H. Shah, *Group cohomology and Hecke operators*, in *Manifolds and Lie groups*, Progress in Math. 14 (1980), 223–266, Birkhauser, Boston
[20] S. Lang, *Introduction to modular forms.* Grunlehren der math. Wissenschaften 222, Springer-Verlag (1976)
[21] Yu.I. Manin, *Periods of parabolic forms and p-adic Hecke series.* Mat. Sb. 21 (1973), 371–393
[22] K. Martin, *The JacquetLanglands correspondence, Eisenstein congruences, and integral L-values in weight 2.* arXiv:1601.03284
[23] B. Mazur, *Modular curves and the Eisenstein ideal.* Publ. Math. IHES 47 (1977), 33–186.
[24] V. Paşol, A. Popa, *Modular forms and period polynomials* , Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 107/4 (2013), 713-743
[25] A. Popa, *On the trace formula for Hecke operators on congruence subgroups.* arXiv:1408.4998
[26] K. Ribet, *Congruence relations between modular forms.* Proc. of ICM Warsaw (1983), 503-514
[27] G. Shimura, *Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions*, Princeton U. Press (1971)
[28] M. Tezuka, *The cohomology of $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and the Hecke algebra actions.* Kodai Math. J. 9 (1986), 440–455
[29] P. Tsaknias, *A Possible Generalization of Maeda's Conjecture*, in *Computations with Modular Forms*, Contributions in Mathematical and Computational Sciences vol. 6 (2014), 317–329
[30] H. Yoo, *Non-optimal levels of a reducible mod l modular representation.* arXiv:1409.8342

Institute of Mathematics "Simion Stoilow" of the Romanian Academy, P.O. Box 1-764, RO-014700 Bucharest, Romania

E-mail: radu.gaba@imar.ro, alexandru.popa@imar.ro